UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,696	05/25/2006	Winfried Esser	2003P10441WOUS	5436
22116 SIEMENS COF	7590 02/23/200 RPORATION	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY DEPA	WONGWIAN, PHUTTHIWAT		
ISELIN, NJ 088	/ENUE SOUTH 330	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3741	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/580,696	ESSER, WINFRIED			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PHUTTHIWAT WONGWIAN	3741			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	oate			

Application/Control Number: 10/580,696 Page 2

Art Unit: 3741

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office is responsive to the amendment filed After-Final rejection, claims 19 are currently pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks pages 1-4, filed 01/30/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 19-38 under 103 (a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Burgel (Pub. No. 20020157738), Murphy (US Pub. No. 20040229072) and Sileo (US Patent No. 5,536,022).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 19-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over each of Burgel (Pub. No. 20020157738, newly cited in this Office action) in view of Sileo

Art Unit: 3741

(US Patent No. 5, 536,022, newly cited in this office action) or Murphy (Pub. No. 20040229072, newly cited in this Office action).

5. As to claims 19-20, 23-26, 35 and 37-38, Burgel discloses a gas turbine engine (col. 3, line 52, "gas turbine blade"), comprising: a rotationally mounted rotor 7 (fig. 1) arranged coaxially with the longitudinal axis of the engine (inherent); an intake housing (inherent) arranged coaxially with the rotor that intakes a working fluid (inherent); a compressor (inherent) that compresses the working fluid; an annular combustion chamber (inherent) comprised of a plurality of components that accepts the compressed working fluid, mixes a fuel (inherent) with the compressed working fluid and combusts the compressed working fluid and fuel mixture to create a hot working fluid; and a turbine section (fig. 1) that expands the hot working fluid, wherein at least one combustion chamber (inherent) or turbine component is formed from a nickel, cobalt or iron superalloy (col. 3, line 6, "nickel-base superalloy")

Burgel does not disclose that the precipitation strengthened by the addition of 50 ppm to 2000 ppm of a strength promoter from the group consisting of: zinc (Zn), tin (Sn), lead (Pb), gallium (Ga), calcium (Ca), selenium (Se), arsenic (As), bismuth (Bi), neodymium (Nd), and praseodymium (Pr).

However, Sileo teaches the oxidation resistant superalloy comprises the superalloy precipitation strengthen by 0-0.05 wt% of each of lead (Pb), bismuth (Bi) (co. 13, line 42-43)

Murphy teaches a nickel base superalloy consisting essentially of 0-0.050% of a rear earth element (Nd or Pr, page 2, paragraph 15).

The promoter compositions taught by each of Sileo or Murphy differ from applicants' claimed promoter composition in that Sileo or Murphy do not teach the exact same proportions as recited in the instant claims.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include addition of 50 ppm to 2000 ppm (0.005-0.2 wt%) of a strength promoter from the group consisting of: zinc (Zn), tin (Sn), lead (Pb), gallium (Ga), calcium (Ca), selenium (Se), arsenic (As), bismuth (Bi), neodymium (Nd), and praseodymium (Pr), because the promoter proportions taught by each of Sileo or Murphy overlap the instantly claimed proportions, for the purpose of providing additional strength to the blade. And also, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the disclosed ranges including the instantly claimed ranges from the ranges disclosed in the prior art reference, particularly in view of the fact that;

"The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages", In re Peterson 65 USPQ2d 1379 (CAFC 2003).

Also, In re Geisler 43 USPQ2d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (CCPA 1976); In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974) and MPEP 2144.05.

6. As to claims 21-22, 27-33, Burgel discloses a nickel-base superalloy for use in a gas turbine engines (col. 4, lines 38-45). As demonstrated in the following table below,

Application/Control Number: 10/580,696

Art Unit: 3741

the superalloy compositions disclosed by Burgel overlaps the applicants' claimed superalloy composition.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would to select any portion of the disclosed ranges including the instantly claimed ranges from the ranges disclosed in the prior art reference, particularly in view of the fact that;

"The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages", In re Peterson 65 USPQ2d 1379 (CAFC 2003).

Also, In re Geisler 43 USPQ2d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (CCPA 1976); In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974) and MPEP 2144.05.

Claims (21, 22, 27, 28)		Burgel	Yoshinari
		(col. 2, lines 1 to 50)	(col. 3, lines 1 to 14)
Cr	11-13, 9-<11 wt%	11-13 wt%	5-14 wt%
W	3-5 wt%	3-5 wt%	2-15 wt%
Мо	0.5-2.5 wt%	0.5-2.5 wt%	0-6 wt%
Al	3-5 wt%	3-5 wt%	4-7 wt%
Ti	3-5 wt%	3-5 wt%	0.5-5 wt%
Та	3-7 wt%	3-7 wt%	0-12 wt%
Со	0-12 wt%	0-12 wt%	0-10 wt%
Nb (C	b) 0-1 wt%	0-1 wt%	0-3 wt%

Application/Control Number: 10/580,696 Page 6

Art Unit: 3741

Remaining Ni, Co

Or Fe and impurities

Hf	0-2 wt%	0-2 wt%	0-2 wt%
Zr	0-1 wt %	0-1 wt% max.	0-0.035 wt%
В	0-0.05 wt%	0-0.05 wt%	0-0.035 wt%
С	0-0.2 wt%	0-0.2 wt %	0-0.02 wt%
Re or	Ru 0.1-10, 0.1-5 wt%	1-5 wt%	Re 0-4 wt%

Ru, and a remainder Ni and impurities

58 wt% or more

Silent of Ru

0-5 wt %

- 7. As to claims 34 and 36, Burgel discloses the component material has an isotropic distribution, directionally solidified (col. 3, line 41, "directionally solidified") or singlecrystal grain structure wherein the precipitation is the gamma phase (col. 4, line 1-20).
- 8. Claims 19-31 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshinari (US Patent No. 5,611,670) in view of Sileo or Murphy.
- 4. As to claims 19-31 and 35-38, Yoshinari discloses a high temperature gas turbine component comprising a rotationally mounted rotor (fig. 7, turbine) arranged coaxially with the longitudinal axis of the engine; an intake housing 39 (fig. 7) arranged coaxially with the rotor that intakes a working fluid; a compressor(f9g. 7, compressor) that compresses the working fluid; an annular combustion chamber (fig. 7, combustor) comprised of a plurality of components that accepts the compressed working fluid, mixes a fuel with the compressed working fluid and combusts the compressed working

Art Unit: 3741

fluid and fuel mixture to create a hot working fluid; and a turbine section 43 (fig. 7) that expands the hot working fluid wherein the blade comprises a root section (fig. 1, the part that in contact with 15); a platform section 15 (fig. 1) arranged adjacent to the root section; a tip section 17 (fig. 1) arranged radially opposite the root section; a leading edge 1 (fig. 1) arranged between the platform and tip sections; a trailing edge 23 (fig. 1) arranged downstream of the leading edge; and a main section (fig. 1 between 1 and 23) arranged between the leading edge, trailing edge, platform section and tip sections, the high temperature gas turbine component is a turbine blade (fig. 1) wherein the precipitation is the gamma phase (col. 2, line 65) and a nickel-base supper alloy comprises of a Ni-base superalloy having the following suitable composition, see table above.

Yoshinari does not disclose the exact same proportions of the super alloy as claimed and the superalloy is precipitation strengthened by the addition of 50-2000 ppm, up to 1100 ppm, 100-500 ppm and 75-2000 ppm of a strength promoter that increases the strength of the component by increasing the formation of precipitants where the strength promoter is selected from the group consisting of: zinc (Zn), tin (Sn), lead (Pb), gallium (Ga), calcium (Ca), selenium (Se), arsenic (As), bismuth (Bi), neodymium (Nd), and praseodymium (Pr).

However, Sileo teaches the oxidation resistant superalloy comprises the superalloy precipitation strengthen by 0-0.05 wt% of each of lead (Pb), bismuth (Bi) (co. 13, line 42-43)

Murphy teaches a nickel base superalloy consisting essentially of 0-0.050% of a rear earth element (Nd or Pr, page 2, paragraph 15).

The promoter compositions taught by each of Sileo or Murphy differ from applicants' claimed promoter composition in that Sileo or Murphy do not teach the exact same proportions as recited in the instant claims. And the superalloy compositions disclosed by Yoshinari overlaps the applicants' claimed superalloy composition

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include addition of 50 ppm to 2000 ppm (0.005-0.2 wt%) of a strength promoter from the group consisting of: zinc (Zn), tin (Sn), lead (Pb), gallium (Ga), calcium (Ca), selenium (Se), arsenic (As), bismuth (Bi), neodymium (Nd), and praseodymium (Pr), because the promoter proportions taught by each of Sileo or Murphy overlap the instantly claimed proportions, for the purpose of providing additional strength to the blade. And also, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the disclosed ranges including the instantly claimed ranges from the ranges disclosed in the prior art reference, particularly in view of the fact that;

"The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages", In re Peterson 65 USPQ2d 1379 (CAFC 2003).

Also, In re Geisler 43 USPQ2d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (CCPA 1976); In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974) and MPEP 2144.05.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,696 Page 9

Art Unit: 3741

7. As to claims 34-35, Yoshinari discloses the component material has directionally solidified (col. 2, line 45-60) wherein the component is a gas turbine blade (fig. 1).

Conclusion

Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUTTHIWAT WONGWIAN whose telephone number is 571-270-5426. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL A. CUFF can be reached on 571-272-6778. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/580,696 Page 10

Art Unit: 3741

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3741

/Michael Cuff/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3741